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INTRODUCTION

Version 2.0 of the CRAFT Code consists of three indivisible code volumes. Volume 1 contains the description of the intent, characteristics, scope, structure and instruments of CRAFT. Volume 2 assumes that users are familiar on how to apply CRAFT in alignment with Volume 1.

This Volume 2A contains all commodity-independent requirements for ASM Mineral Producers (AMPs). For conformance with CRAFT, AMPs must also fulfill the applicable commodity-specific requirements of Volume 2B.

Short description of the modules

- **MODULE 1** Adopting a Management System
- **MODULE 2** Legitimacy of the AMP
- **MODULE 3** “Annex II Risks” Requiring Immediate Disengagement. (MODULE 3 has pass/fail criteria)
- **MODULE 4** “Annex II Risks” Requiring Disengagement after Unsuccessful Mitigation. (MODULE 4 has pass/fail and progress criteria)
- **MODULE 5** “Non-Annex II” High Risks Requiring Improvement. (MODULE 5 is aspirational has therefore only pass or progress criteria, of risks being controlled or mitigation in progress)

Background information, further comments, explanatory notes and suggested tools are contained in **Volume 4** (Guidance Book).
MODULE 1: ADOPTING A MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The organizational structure and the geographic location of the AMP and the minerals or metals produced by the AMP are aligned with the organizational-, geographic- and commodity scope of CRAFT.²

Criteria: The AMP provides (in the CRAFT Report) all necessary information about its organizational structure, its Members, the location of its operations and transport routes and about the products mined and processed.

The AMP also indicates if - in its opinion - the operations are located in a Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Area (CAHRA) or not.

The description of the AMP contains a list of its Members, i.e. its internal entities (de-facto groups and legal persons), as well as name, gender, age, work site, and ID number of all natural persons linked to these entities or working individually, and the respective production and processing characteristics and capacities of the entities.

² See chapter 2 of Volume 1 on the scope of CRAFT
The AMP declares that it is committed to responsible production of minerals and metals in alignment with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance and to further progress towards good and best ASM practice. For this purpose, the AMP adopts the CRAFT Code as its Management System for risk mitigation and commits to progressively fulfill all requirements of the CRAFT Code.

**Criteria:** The AMP declares in the CRAFT Report or a separate statement its commitment to CRAFT.

---

If the AMP seeks support for implementing the CRAFT Code by joining a CRAFT Scheme, the AMP must follow the affiliation requirements of the CRAFT Scheme.

**Criteria (only applies if a CRAFT Scheme exists, and if the AMP decides to join it):** The AMP engages with a CRAFT Scheme.
The AMP has designated a point of contact for complaints.

Criteria: The point of contact is sufficiently independent to act as conflict mediator in case of complaints.

The AMP has nominated a Responsible Person for the implementation of CRAFT.

Criteria: The responsible person is entitled to make claims on behalf of the AMP (i.e. issue the CRAFT Report).

The Member(s) of the AMP that interact(s) commercially with BUYERS is ultimately responsible for making all CRAFT-related verifiable claims. This responsibility may be delegated to or assumed by an ASM producer support scheme (e.g., a public, private, or civil society ASM program or project).
MODULE 2: LEGITIMACY OF THE AMP

MODULE 2 specifies the requirements and criteria used to assess the legitimacy of the AMP, in terms of legalization and formalization of its operation.

An AMP can apply to join a CRAFT Scheme if it is legitimate, in the understanding of the definition of “Legitimate ASM” given by the OECD DDG.

The AMP must be legitimate.

- **Fulfilled requirement**: The AMP is legitimate and formal/legal.
- **Progress towards Fulfillment of the Requirement**: The AMP is legitimate and in the process of becoming formal/legal.
- **Fail Criteria**: Under its current circumstances, the AMP cannot be considered legitimate.

For determining legitimacy, the CRAFT distinguishes different contexts that may exist in the country where the AMP operates. For each context, the CRAFT establishes the criteria below for determining whether or not the requirement of legitimacy is fulfilled:
2.1 COUNTRY CONTEXT CASE 1:

A legal framework for ASM exists, is actively implemented, and is enforced by the competent authorities.

**Fulfilled requirement**

The AMP’s operation is legal. The AMP holds the legally valid public or private documents that authorize its operation.

**Progress towards Fulfillment of the Requirement**

- The AMP can prove with documents that it made efforts towards the legalization of its operation (incipient progress)
  - or
  - The AMP can prove with legally valid documents that it is making progress towards the legalization of its operation (advanced progress)
  - and
  - The AMP’s operation is based on good faith: No evidence exists that the competent authorities have taken action against the AMP since the AMP initiated the formalization process.

**Fail Criteria**

- The AMP cannot provide any evidence of efforts towards the legalization of its operation, e.g. the AMP doesn’t have any documents proving its application or initiation of the formalization procedure.
  - or
  - The AMP’s operation is not based on good faith: Its operation continues despite actions taken by the competent authorities against the AMP.
2.2 COUNTRY CONTEXT CASE 2:

A legal framework for ASM exists, but it is neither actively implemented nor enforced.

The AMP’s operation is legal. The AMP holds the legally valid public or private documents that authorize its operation(s).

Alternative Fulfilled Requirement:
- The AMP can prove with documents its willingness to legalize its operation under national law.
- The AMP operates with authorizations under customary law or operates with implicit local consent. No complaints are sustained by potentially affected public or private stakeholders in the community. Conflicts are resolved as soon as they arise.

Progress towards Fulfillment of the Requirement
- The AMP declares its willingness to legalize its operation under national law.
- The AMP sustains a dialogue with traditional authorities, and with public, private, and community stakeholders as applicable, in order to reach consent and resolve conflicts. The AMP can demonstrate progress in the respective negotiations.

Fail Criteria
- The AMP cannot provide any evidence of efforts towards the legalization of its operation.
- The AMP continues to operate despite clearly expressed and sustained opposition of traditional authorities, or of public or private stakeholders in the community.
2.3 COUNTRY CONTEXT CASE 3:

A specific legal framework for ASM does not exist.

The AMP’s operation is legal. The AMP holds the legally valid public or private documents that authorize its operation.

**Alternative Fulfilled Requirement:**
- The AMP has analysed the applicable laws and can justify the impossibility of legalization under the existing legal framework for all extractive activities.
  
- The AMP operates with authorization under customary law or operates with implicit local consent (e.g., active participation of community members in the AMP). No complaints are sustained by potentially affected public or private stakeholders in the community. Conflicts are resolved as soon as they arise.

**Fulfilled requirement**

**Progress towards Fulfillment of the Requirement**

- The AMP declares its willingness to legalize its operation.

  - The AMP sustains a dialogue with traditional authorities, and with public, private, and community stakeholders as applicable, in order to reach consensus and resolve conflicts. The AMP can demonstrate progress in the respective negotiations.

**Fail Criteria**

- The AMP continues to operate despite clearly expressed and sustained opposition of traditional authorities, or of public or private stakeholders in the community.
2.4 COUNTRY CONTEXT CASE 4:

Case 4 may apply as stand-alone country context or in addition to cases 1 to 3. **State-approved commercialization channels for informally produced ASM commodities are in place.**

The AMP’s operation is legal and the AMP is authorized to sell to the free market. The AMP holds the legally valid public or private documents that authorize its operation.

**Alternative Fulfilled Requirement:**
- The AMP sells its production to or through a state-approved commercialization channel, and
- The AMP operates with authorization under customary law or operates with implicit local consent (e.g. active participation of community members in the AMP). No complaints are sustained by potentially affected public or private stakeholders in the community. Conflicts are resolved as soon as they arise.

**Progress towards Fulfillment of the Requirement**
- The AMP has attempted to sell its production to or through a state-approved commercialization channel and can justify the impossibility of such commercial operations. and
- The AMP sustains a dialogue with traditional authorities, and with public, private, and community stakeholders as applicable, in order to reach consent and resolve conflicts. The AMP can demonstrate progress in the respective negotiations.

**Fail Criteria**
- The AMP attempts to bypass state-approved commercialization channels. or
- The AMP continues to operate despite clearly expressed and sustained opposition of traditional authorities, or of public or private stakeholders in the community.
MODULE 3: “ANNEX II RISKS” REQUIRING IMMEDIATE DISENGAGEMENT

Preface

MODULE 3 addresses Annex II risks for which the OECD DDG recommends that BUYERS immediately suspend or discontinue engagement with AMPs, if a reasonable risk is not mitigated. Consequently, if such risks are unmanaged, a BUYER sourcing in conformance with the DDG would not engage. Accordingly, all requirements of this MODULE are pass/fail requirements.

Every requirement is complied with if:

- All Pass Criteria are fulfilled, and
- No Fail Criteria applies.

Requirements

Requirements of this MODULE are applicable to the organizational scope of the AMP, i.e. to issues that are directly controllable (and therefore mitigable) by the AMP. Issues related to the wider community or to economic activities not or indirectly related with mineral production are beyond the scope of this MODULE. Such issues will be addressed in MODULE 5.

Background information on each requirement, explanatory notes, examples and suggested tools are contained in Volume 4 (Guidance Book).
3.1 HUMAN AND WORKERS’ RIGHTS

A qualitative (but ideally a semi-quantitative) baseline assessment of child labour has been conducted of the AMP production process and internal supply chain. The outcome of this exercise is documented in the CRAFT Report.

The AMP can credibly affirm that in its internal supply chain no persons under the age of 18 (children) perform any of the following work classified as the worst forms of child labour: underground or underwater work, work with dangerous machinery and tools, carrying heavy loads, work that exposes them to hazardous substances or that severely harms their health, safety or morals in general.  

Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP does not tolerate worst forms of child labour in its production process.

---

3Based on ILO recommendation R190 (ILO 1999b), the OECD document, “Practical Actions for Companies to Identify and Address the Worst Forms of Child Labour in Mineral Supply Chains” (OECD 2017), provides orientation on the intent of the OECD DDG, indicating: “Not all work by children is child labour, and not all child labour falls under the internationally recognised legal definition of the “worst forms of child labour”. Many of the activities defined as “hazardous work” under international law occur in mining. These activities include work underground or underwater, work with dangerous machinery and tools, carrying heavy loads, work that exposes miners to hazardous substances.” Consequently, requirement M.3/1.1.1/R.1 focuses only on these mineral production-related worst forms of child labour, such as carrying out any of the above-mentioned activities. All other aspects of child labour and worst forms of child labour are covered in MODULE 5 and shall be assigned high priority. See also Footnote 8 on the different scopes of M.3/1.1.1/R.1 and M.5/1.1.1/R.1.
Fail Criterion 1

Documentation provided by the AMP (the CRAFT Report) makes no reference to efforts carried out by the AMP to assess the extent and the conditions of child labour in its internal supply chain.

Fail Criterion 2

Persons under the age of 18 (children) perform any of the following work classified as a worst forms of child labour in the internal supply chain of the AMP: work underground or underwater, work with dangerous machinery and tools, carrying heavy loads, and work that exposes them to hazardous substances or to situations that severely harm their health, safety or morals in general.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to any forms of forced or compulsory labour.

**Pass Criterion 1**
The AMP can credibly affirm that any work or service of any person in its internal supply chain is performed under voluntary terms.

**Pass Criterion 2**
The AMP can credibly affirm that all persons related to its internal supply chain are free to resign from their work or service at any moment, according to generally accepted procedures for due notice, respecting existing obligations, and without the menace of penalty.

**Pass Criterion 3**
Complaints received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

**Fail Criterion 1**
The CRAFT Report does not indicate the supporting evidence available to the AMP that confirms the absence of any forms of forced or compulsory labor.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to committing any forms of torture or cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment.

Pass Criterion 1
Credible testimonies regarding cases of torture or cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment at the mine site and its surroundings were sought, and if found to exist, the AMP ensured that proven or suspected perpetrators were excluded from its supply chain.

Pass Criterion 2
Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

Fail Criterion 1
The AMP has not taken action against proven or suspected perpetrators in its supply chain.

Fail Criterion 2
The CRAFT Report of the AMP does not contain an analysis of the obtained testimonies or state the absence of complaints.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to any other gross human rights violations and abuses, such as widespread sexual violence.

Pass Criterion 1

Credible third-party testimonies indicate the absence of gross human rights violations and abuses such as widespread sexual violence.

Pass Criterion 2

Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

Fail Criterion 1

The CRAFT Report makes no reference to efforts carried out by the AMP to obtain third-party testimonies regarding gross human rights violations and abuses such as widespread sexual violence.

Fail Criterion 2

Third-party testimonies indicate gross human rights violations and abuses such as widespread sexual violence related to the AMP.

Fail Criterion 3

The CRAFT Report of the AMP does not contain an analysis of the obtained testimonies.

M.3/1.1.6/R.1

(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 1.iv)

1. Category: Human and Workers’ Rights
1.1 Issue: Serious Human Rights Abuses
1.1.6 Sub-Issue: Other Gross Human Rights Abuses

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to any other gross human rights violations and abuses, such as widespread sexual violence.
3.2 SOCIETAL WELFARE

M.3/2.1.8/R.1
(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 1.v)

2. Category: Societal Welfare
2.1 Issue: Community Rights
2.1.8 Sub-Issue: Security Forces

If the AMP is located in a CAHRA (see M.1/5.2.3/R.1):
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to committing war crimes or other serious violations of international humanitarian law, crimes against humanity, or genocide.

The AMP confirms that none of its Members is prosecuted, accused or convicted for having committed war crimes or other serious violations of international humanitarian law, crimes against humanity, or genocide.

Persons in a government-controlled program for re-socialization or re-integration of ex-combatants do not count against this criterion.

The AMP can credibly affirm that its internal supply chain is not controlled by or benefitting any conflict party suspected of being involved in war crimes or other serious violations of international humanitarian law, crimes against humanity, or genocide.

Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.
Collected evidence is not sufficiently conclusive to confirm that it is reasonable to believe that the AMP is not linked to any conflict party suspected of committing war crimes or other serious violations of international humanitarian law, crimes against humanity, or genocide.

The AMP does not provide the results of the internal and/or external assessment in its CRAFT Report.
If the AMP is located in a CAHRA (see M.1/5.2.3/R.1):

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP’s mine site and transportation routes are not illegally controlled by non-state armed groups.⁴

---

**Pass Criterion 1**

An internal (and in case of reasonable doubt external) assessment confirms that the AMP’s mine site, the internal supply chain and the transportation routes are not illegally controlled by any non-state armed group.

**Pass Criterion 2**

Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

**Fail Criterion 1**

Collected evidence is not sufficiently conclusive to confirm that it is reasonable to believe that the AMP’s mine site and internal supply chain is not illegally controlled by any non-state armed group.

**Fail Criterion 2**

The AMP does not provide the results of an internal and/or external assessment in its CRAFT Report.

---

⁴ According to the footnote #5 in OECD 2016b, Annex II, par. 3.i, “Control” means i) overseeing extraction, including by granting access to mine sites and/or coordinating downstream sales to intermediaries, export companies or international traders; ii) making recourse to any forms of forced or compulsory labour to mine, transport, trade or sell minerals; or iii) acting as a director or officer of, or holding beneficial or other ownership interests in, upstream companies or mines.
3.3 COMPANY GOVERNANCE

M.3/5.1.4/R.1
(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 3.ii)

5. Category: Company Governance
5.1 Issue: Business Practices
5.1.4 Sub-Issue: Extortion

If the AMP is located in a CAHRA (see M.1/5.2.3/R.1):
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP undertakes all reasonable efforts\(^5\) to avoid production at its mine site and its internal supply chain from being subjected to illegal taxation or extortion of money or minerals by non-state armed groups.

---

Pass Criterion 1

The AMP has and implements an internal policy requiring all Members to abstain from making any payment considered related to extortion and illegal taxation to non-state armed groups. The policy establishes that proven perpetrators are excluded or suspended from its supply chain.

Pass Criterion 2

Complaints or allegations received by the point of contact (see M.1/5.2.8/R.1) have been acknowledged and resolved.

Fail Criterion 1

One or more Members of the AMP are prosecuted, accused or convicted for being involved in financing or directly or indirectly supporting non-state armed groups, and the AMP has not taken any corrective action to exclude or suspend them of the AMP or to prevent recurrence according to the internal policy.

Fail Criterion 2

The AMP does not report about the implementation of the policy in its CRAFT Report.

---

\(^5\) Reasonable means to the maximum extent possible without putting the physical integrity of persons at risk.
MODULE 4: “ANNEX II RISKS” REQUIRING DISENGAGEMENT AFTER UNSUCCESSFUL MITIGATION

Preface

MODULE 4 addresses Annex II risks for which the OECD DDG recommends to suspend or discontinue engagement with AMPs after failed mitigation attempts. Accordingly, all requirements of this MODULE are pass, progress, or fail requirements.

Every requirement is complied with if:

- The Pass Criteria “mitigated” are fulfilled, or
- The Progress Criteria “mitigation progress satisfactory” demonstrates measurable progress in the past reporting period and contains a commitment to further mitigation measures for the next reporting period, and
- no Fail Criteria applies.

The MODULE is considered “passed” (i.e. the AMP can claim Affiliate status) if no fail criteria applies to any requirement (i.e. if all requirements can be verifiably claimed as passed or in progress).

---

6 In general, the reporting period between CRAFT Reports should be one year. It is upon the CRAFT Scheme to establish shorter or longer periods, as seen appropriate from a risk-based perspective, and, as appropriate, taking into account recommended timelines for risk mitigation in the OECD DDG.
**Requirements**

Requirements of this MODULE are applicable to the main and (if applicable) to the extended organizational scope of the AMP, i.e. to issues that are directly controllable (and therefore mitigable) by the AMP.

Issues related to the wider community, to economic activities not (or only indirectly) related with mineral production, or related to conflict contexts at national or regional level over which the AMP has no control, are beyond the scope of this MODULE. Such issues are not mitigable by the AMP. Notwithstanding, some of such issues are addressed in MODULE 5.

Background information on each requirement, explanatory notes, examples and suggested tools are contained in Volume 4 (Guidance Book).

---

**4.1 SOCIETAL WELFARE**

**M.4/2.1.8/R.1**
(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 5)

2. Category: Societal Welfare
2.1 Issue: Community Rights
2.1.8 Sub-Issue: Security Forces

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP undertakes best possible efforts to eliminate direct or indirect support to public or private security forces that illegally tax, extort, or control its mine site, internal supply chain, or point(s) of sale.

**Pass Criterion**
("mitigated")

The AMP (its Members, mine site, and internal supply chain) is not illegally taxed, extorted, or controlled by public or private security forces.
A risk management plan has been agreed upon between the AMP and its BUYERS, but the AMP makes no effort to adhere to the plan.

Initial Step: The AMP seeks external advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons to put a risk management plan in place.

Next steps: A risk management plan is in place and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is supportive or seeks the support of public or private security forces if their presence is required to maintain the rule of law, including safeguarding human rights, providing security to mine workers, equipment, and facilities, and protecting the mine site or transportation routes from interference with legitimate extraction and trade.
While acknowledging the need for security, the AMP declares and can prove (if applicable) that the presence of security forces is justified by their needs, and that security providers act respecting human rights and national laws.

The AMP declares (in the CRAFT report) and can prove (if applicable) that it collaborates with public or private security forces as required by law or seeks their support only as needed for the purpose specified in the requirement.

Initial Step: If relations between the AMP and public or private security forces are characterized by tensions, the AMP seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons to put a risk management plan in place.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

The AMP refuses to abide by orders from public security forces, where these are acting within the framework of the law and in respect of human rights. --- or ---

The AMP employs private security forces that ignore the framework of the law and human rights.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP does not knowingly hire individuals or units of security forces that are known to have been responsible for gross human rights abuses.

**Pass Criterion**

"mitigated"

The AMP does not contract private security services and has not requested the provision of public security to their operations.

--- or ---

The AMP seeks reasonable certainty to ensure that individuals or units of hired security forces are not linked to gross human rights abuses.

**Progress Criteria**

(pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

Initial Step: If information arise that security personnel is linked to human rights abuses, the AMP seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons to put a risk management plan in place.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

**Fail Criterion**

The AMP knowingly and purposefully hires security providers that are known for their abusive practices.
**M.4/2.1.8/R.4**
(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 8)

2. Category: Societal Welfare
2.1 Issue: Community Rights
2.1.8 Sub-Issue: Security Forces

**It is reasonable to believe that the AMP supports all efforts or takes all viable steps to ensure that payments to public security forces for the provision of security are as transparent, proportional, and accountable as possible.**

**Pass Criterion**
("mitigated")

- No payments are made, i.e. the AMP is not obligated to pay for services provided by public security forces.

--- or ---

- If the AMP is legally bound to pay for services provided by public security forces, payments are in accordance with the law, and documented by receipts.

**Progress Criteria**
(pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

- Initial Step: The AMP starts recording the payments made and seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons to put a risk management plan in place.

- Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

**Fail Criterion**

- Payments are made, but not as required by law and neither receipts nor internal records are kept.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP supports all efforts or takes all viable steps to minimize adverse impacts associated with the presence of public or private security forces on their mine site(s).

Pass Criterion ("mitigated")

No public or private security forces are present at the mine site.

--- or ---

The AMP supports all efforts or takes all viable steps to minimize adverse impacts associated with the presence of public or private security forces, to which men and women on their mine site(s) may be exposed.\(^7\)

Progress Criteria (pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

Initial Step:

- In case of inconformity with the service of private security, the AMP seeks to renegotiate the service of or change the security provider and put a risk management plan in place. If needed, the AMP seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons.

- In case of inconformity with the performance of public security, the AMP seeks to engage with the supervisor or the competent authorities to put a risk management plan in place. If needed, the AMP seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

\(^7\) This is usually in the own best interest of the AMP and therefore a “self-fulfilling” requirement. DDG Annex II, par. 9 is rather a responsibility of BUYERS than a requirement for AMPs. Notwithstanding, as CRAFT Schemes are expected to support AMPs in fulfilling the requirements, this issue is included for consistency.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP pays to the government all taxes, fees, and royalties related to mineral extraction, trade, and export.

**Pass Criterion**

The AMP and its Members can prove that they pay taxes, fees, and royalties as required by law.

**Progress Criteria**

Initial Step: At least some Members of the AMP pay taxes, fees and royalties as applicable.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

**Fail Criterion**

Nobody pays any taxes, fees, or royalties, despite being required to do so by law.

--- or ---

The AMP cannot provide any information regarding payment of taxes, fees, and royalties by its members.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP is committed to disclose – if requested – payments of taxes, fees, and royalties in accordance with the principles set forth under the Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI). Applicable only if the AMP is located in a country where EITI is collecting information from ASM:

**Pass Criterion**

(“mitigated”)

The AMP discloses, or declares to be committed to disclose, payments of taxes, fees, and royalties to the national EITI.

**Progress Criteria**

(pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

Initial Step: The AMP engages with EITI to learn about its obligations, or is already preparing its EITI declaration but has not yet submitted it.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

**Fail Criterion**

The AMP refuses to disclose payments to the national EITI.
4.2 COMPANY GOVERNANCE

M.4/5.1.3/R.1
(addresses OECD DDG, Annex II, par. 11)

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP undertakes all reasonable efforts to avoid offering, promising, giving, accepting or demanding any bribes to misrepresent taxes, fees and royalties paid to governments for the purposes of mineral extraction, trade, handling, transport and export.

Pass Criterion ("mitigated")

The AMP has an internal policy requiring all members to abstain from offering, promising, giving, and particularly expecting or demanding bribes.

--- and ---

The AMP undertakes all reasonable efforts to achieve that members of the AMP recognize this policy as binding and abide by the policy.

Progress Criteria (pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

Initial Step: The AMP has identified the risk and seeks advice and support from credible institutions, organizations or persons to establish a risk management plan.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

Fail Criterion

The AMP does not address bribing issues at all.
5. Category: Company Governance
5.1 Issue: Business Practices
5.1.3 Sub-Issue: Bribery and Facilitation

It is reasonable to believe that the AMP undertakes all reasonable efforts to resist bribery to conceal or disguise the origin of minerals.

Pass Criterion ("mitigated")

The AMP ensures chain of custody or traceability and that minerals, concentrates or metals commercialized collectively by the AMP and/or individually by its Members originate exclusively from the mine site of the AMP.

Initial Step: The AMP is adopting, creating or improving its chain of custody or traceability mechanism and is piloting its implementation.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements.

Progress Criteria (pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)

Fail Criterion

The AMP makes no efforts to identify the origin of minerals, concentrates and metals commercialized.
It is reasonable to believe that the AMP supports all efforts or takes all viable steps to contribute to the effective elimination of money laundering, where a reasonable risk of such practice from or connected to its operations or products is identified.

**Pass Criterion (“mitigated”)**

The production volumes of the AMP are plausibly aligned with the effective production capacity of the AMP. 

--- and ---

The installed production capacity of the AMP is plausibly aligned with the financial capacity of its Members (including ultimate ownership).

**Progress Criteria (pass: “mitigation progress satisfactory”)**

Initial Step: The AMP has identified the risk of money laundering and establishes a risk management plan to identify and mitigate risks that finance, minerals or metals originating from money laundering is injected into its supply chain.

Subsequent steps: A risk management plan is in place for this risk, and the AMP implements and monitors the plan with measurable improvements. The AMP tries to avoid cash payments as far as possible and economically viable to keep formal records.

**Fail Criterion**

The AMP sells more mineral, concentrate or metal than it produces and cannot explain where the excess volumes originate. 

--- or ---

The legitimate origin of investment capital and of funds to cover operational expenses cannot be reasonably explained.
Preface

MODULE 5 addresses high risks not specifically covered by the “Model Supply Chain Policy for a Responsible Global Supply Chain of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas” of the OECD DDG. Such risks are commonly referred to as “non-Annex II risks”.

MODULE 5 aims to guide further progress of AMPs that have passed (by “pass” or “satisfactory progress” criteria) the previous MODULE 4, which means that BUYERS adopting the OECD Model Supply Chain Policy are not required to disengage as long as no new Annex II risks appear. Correspondingly, requirements of this MODULE 5 have no Pass/Fail Criteria.

All requirements of MODULE 5 are “aspirational” and not compulsory.

The “High Risks” in Module 5 cover the majority (although not all) of aspects that BUYERS committed to responsible sourcing may expect from their suppliers. Mitigation of these “High Risks” also meets what the vast majority of members of AMPs aspire to improve their workplaces and the living conditions and livelihoods of their families. Experience shows that well-managed ASM is more beneficial and profitable for miners. By progressively conforming with these aspirational requirements according to their own needs and goals, AMPs advance in their development and can further improve their access to responsible markets.
MODULE 5 is also “aspirational” in that it is expected that AMPs that are already CRAFT-conformant by passing Module 4, periodically assess the High Risks in MODULE 5 and decide on mitigation measures for improvement to be carried out in the next reporting period. As MODULE 5 is aspirational, this decision should reflect the own development priorities of the AMP.

MODULE 5 puts the emphasis on progressive improvement. It should not always be the goal to have a risk “controlled” at good practice level. On some issues a focus on continuous improvement (i.e. progressing) may be more valuable and may help AMPs to advance towards best practice. Notwithstanding, this is subject to the aspirations of the AMP.
**Requirements**

**Conformity with requirements is expressed as:**

**Controlled**

The risk has been assessed and if present, mitigation measures for improvement have been taken to an extent that is considered good ASM practice. The following statement applies to all requirements, whereby only the conformity criteria is specified:

The risk is absent.

--- or ---

[conformity criteria specified]

Having achieved the improvement related to this requirement, the High Risk is controlled.

**Progressing**

The risk has been assessed and the AMP is implementing mitigation measures for improvement.

**Unaddressed**

The risk has not yet been assessed or the AMP has not yet taken steps to implement mitigation measures for improvement. The following statement applies to all requirements:

The risk needs to be assessed, and if present, mitigation measures need to be taken.
The CRAFT is intentionally **not prescriptive on sequencing and prioritization** of improvements addressing **non-Annex II High Risks** (requirements of this MODULE 5). Neither is the CRAFT prescriptive on the **number** of improvements pursued simultaneously. According to their own needs and capacity, and to support opportunities provided by CRAFT Schemes, AMPs may decide which risks are priority issues for them and need to be addressed. Additionally, CRAFT Schemes may evaluate which risks are priority issues for their downstream supply chains and offer support to the AMP to address these risks and the priority risks identified by the AMP.

Risk mitigation plans shall be established for these (jointly) identified priority risks. To distinguish these risk mitigation plans from those in MODULE 4 (related to Annex II risks) they are referred to as **Improvement Plans**.

Periodically, as to be agreed between the AMP and the CRAFT Scheme it is affiliated, the AMP shall commit to activities and steps related to the progressive implementation of the Improvement Plans, until the risk is “Controlled”. Commitments and achievements shall be documented in the CRAFT Report.

The CRAFT is also **not prescriptive with regards to the activities** AMPs need to carry out to improve and mitigate the risks as part of the Improvement Plan. AMPs, ideally backed by the support of CRAFT Schemes, are free to decide which steps to take to achieve the improvement and comply with the requirement.

Requirements of this MODULE may be **applicable beyond the organizational scope of the AMP**. Some risks refer to responsibilities of the AMP with the community. Where issues relate to the wider community or to economic activities indirectly related with the mineral production, this is indicated in the requirement.

Background information on each requirement, explanatory notes, examples and suggested tools are contained in **Volume 4** (Guidance Book).
5.1 HUMAN AND WORKERS’ RIGHTS

**Risk**

Persons below 18 years of age, within the community, are engaged in work classified by ILO as “worst forms of child labour”, directly or indirectly related to the mining activity.⁸

**Controlled**

Persons below age of 18 work only at workplaces appropriate for their age, not classified as worst forms of child labour.⁹ A mechanism is in place to take immediate action as soon as cases of child labour are detected.

**Improvement:** The AMP engages with competent authorities addressing worst forms of child labour, participates in educating the community on the negative consequences of child labour, and contributes to progressively relocating all working persons of age below 18 to workplaces or tasks appropriate to their age.

---

⁸ For clarity: Requirement M.3/111/R.1 on worst forms of child labour refers to the production process of the AMP, for which the AMP is entirely responsible and that is directly controllable (and therefore mitigable) by the AMP. This requirement M.5/111/R.1 refers to any person below 18 within the community. High risks like prostitution of minors are not related to the mineral production process (i.e. brothels are not part of the organizational scope of CRAFT) but may occur in the community. Such risks therefore have to be addressed here under M.5/111/R.1 and not under M.3/111/R.1.
The AMP takes steps towards eradicating all child labour of persons under the age of 15.

**Risk**

Persons younger than 15 years of age, within the community, are admitted to employment or allowed to work in any occupation.

**Controlled**

Persons below age of 15 are not working in any occupation that is considered child labour. A mechanism is in place to take immediate action as soon as cases of child labour are detected.

**Improvement:** The AMP has established a risk management plan to reduce this risk. The AMP engages with competent state authorities and other community organizations and takes steps to demand schools and occupational training from the government, with the goal to ultimately eradicate all child labour that is mentally, physically, socially or morally dangerous and harmful to children and/or interferes with their schooling.

---

Sexual violence and harassment\(^{10}\) against women or any individual in situation of vulnerability is common and widespread in workplaces.

A mechanism is in place to take immediate action as soon as cases of sexual violence and/or harassment are detected or reported.

**Improvement:** The AMP makes efforts and takes steps to raise awareness that sexual violence and harassment is unacceptable, collaborates with competent authorities, ensures there is a safe and confidential mechanism for women or any individual in situation of vulnerability to denounce aggressors and encourages victims to denounce aggressors to the competent authority.

\(^{10}\)“Violence and harassment” as defined in ILO convention 190.
Women’s income opportunities are limited by restricting or prohibiting them from accessing certain mineral resources, from engaging in certain mineral producing activities, or from joining miners’ organizations.

Access to mineral resources, to mineral producing activities, and to miners’ organizations is conditioned to rules and criteria that do not distinguish between men and women.

**Improvement:** The AMP makes efforts and takes steps to raise awareness that gender-based restrictions are unacceptable.
The AMP does not base its decisions on criteria classified as discriminatory in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

**Risk**

Discrimination due to “race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or another opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or another status” may be common.

**Controlled**

Within its organizational boundaries, the AMP’s decisions, decision-making structures and processes are not based on criteria classified as discrimination in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and ILO Convention 111.

**Improvement**: The AMP makes efforts and takes steps to raise awareness that discrimination due to “race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or another opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or another status” is unacceptable.

---

11 E.g. Indigenous miner groups, women miner groups, community mining groups, etc.

12 With exception of nationality, in countries where by law only national citizens are allowed to engage in ASM.
The AMP makes basic mining safety rules mandatory for its members.

**Risk**

Accidents in mining activities are frequent.

**Controlled**

Basic mining safety rules are followed.

**Improvement:** The AMP, as part of its formalization process (see 5.2.1/M.5/R.1), designs and implements an occupational safety and health program in the mine (aligned with national mining safety regulations) for its members. It prioritizes the different risks found in the AMP and carries out corrective and preventive actions that enable safe working conditions.
Members of the AMP use personal protective equipment (PPE) at work.

Miners do not use the essential personal protective equipment (PPE) appropriate for the work they perform.

- **Risk**
  - Workplace hazards are identified and all workers use personal protective equipment that protects them from the identified hazards. In case of epidemics or pandemics, this includes biosafety measures.

- **Controlled**

- **Progressing**
  - **Improvement**: The AMP has a risk management plan or policy in place for increasing the use, maintenance and proper replacement of PPE; as part of this plan, the AMP facilitates the availability of PPE at local shops and markets, promotes its use, and progressively makes its use and maintenance mandatory for all members.
Miners are exposed to a range of emergency and non-emergency health issues that result from working conditions and the social context of work.

The AMP procures first aid and basic health services for its members.

**Risk**

First aid and basic health services are in place and accessible to miners.

**Controlled**

**Improvement:** The AMP has an action plan in place to cover basic health needs for emergency and primary care in accordance with the kind of risks miners are exposed to. As part of this plan, the AMP has implemented a first aid program to cover the needs of emergencies that may arise, and also facilitates access to primary health care. The AMP presents this program so that its members know how to act in case of emergencies, how to access health care, and also identifies health facilities in the area that are accessible to its women and men members.
5.2 SOCIETAL WELFARE

The AMP takes steps towards being accepted and/or integrated into existing communities.

Risk

Where the majority of the Members of the AMP consists of migrant population, residents (including indigenous groups\textsuperscript{13}) complain that mining is negatively affecting their environment, livelihoods, values and traditional social structures.

Controlled

The AMP coexists respectfully with the community or is accepted as part of the community. The AMP keeps a documentation to show that a respectful relationship has been developed and maintained with a range of representatives from communities (including the leaders but not limited), and that broad based consent exists.

Improvement: The AMP makes continuous efforts to understand and respect local (e.g. indigenous) values and rules, integrate or align its coordination mechanisms for consensus-based decision making into existing local governance structures and processes.

\textsuperscript{13} Depending on the country “Indigenous Peoples” can be known by other terms such as “first nation, afrocolombiano, minority nationalities, ethnic minorities.” The purpose of the CRAFT encompasses all of these (see IFC\_2012).
5.3 USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES

The AMP operates in close coordination with and in support of Protected Area Authorities.

Risk

Conflicts between ASM and authorities administering protected areas exist, i.e. mineral extraction is considered an impediment to the conservation goal of the protected area.\(^\text{14}\)

Controlled

The AMP’s operation is aligned with the conservation goals of the area where it is located.

Improvement: The AMP has reached an agreement with the administration of the protected area, supporting authorities in their task of achieving the conservation goal.

--- or ---

The AMP is willing to demonstrate that it seeks to cooperate with the administration of the protected area and to support conservation goals by implementing environmental actions that are compatible with the ecosystem in which the AMP operates.

\(^\text{14}\) For instance, World Heritage sites; sites on a State Party’s official Tentative List for World Heritage Site inscription; IUCN category I-III protected areas; IUCN category I-V marine protected areas; core areas of UNESCO biosphere reserves; and areas where indigenous peoples live in (voluntary) isolation or where it is assumed that they might live.
The AMP uses mining land in coordination with local inhabitants who require the same resource for agriculture, fishing, use of forest products, eco-tourism, or animal husbandry.

**Risk**

Resource conflicts regarding land use exist, i.e. ASM is carried out on land that provides a livelihood for other local inhabitants.

**Controlled**

Conflicts over land use between mining, and other local economic activities are being respectfully and properly managed.

**Improvement:** The AMP implement a grievance process and a participatory process to reach agreement with other land users and other local inhabitants during the life of the mine. It also designed restoration measures that aimed at rehabilitating the soil for post-mining use. Eventually it has a closure plan in place or planned to rehabilitate the soil.
M.5/3.2.1/R.1

3. Category: Use of Natural Resources
3.2 Issue: Water Use
3.2.1 Sub-Issue: Water Management

The AMP uses water resources and water bodies in coordination with other water users.

Risk

Resource conflicts regarding water use exist, i.e. the water bodies and the water required for mineral processing are also demanded by nearby stakeholders for drinking, washing, recreational use, fishing, raising livestock, or irrigating crops that put the livelihoods and health of other water users (including biodiversity) at risk.

Controlled

A consensus on water usage between the AMP and other water users has been reached.

Progressing

 Improvement: Impacts of AMP operations are assessed and a participatory process to reach consensus is established. A water management plan for the coexistence of the AMP’s mining operations with other water users is being developed.
5.4 EMISSIONS AND LAND RECLAMATION

**M.5/4.2.2/R.1**

4. Category: Emissions and Land Reclamation
4.2 Issues: Mine Waste and Wastewater
4.2.2 Sub-Issue: Wastewater & Water Quality

The AMP avoids serious contamination of water bodies with suspended solids and/or chemicals and fuel residues that put the livelihoods of other water users at risk.

**Risk**

Wastewater from mining operations or processing plants directly discharged into water bodies may contain a high content of suspended solids, high concentrations of chemicals or of fuel oils (as applicable). Elevated contamination of any of the above pollutants puts at risk the health and livelihoods of others who use this water for human consumption, farming, ranching, or fishing.

**Controlled**

Water pollution and ecosystem risks have been reduced to moderate levels that do not represent an imminent risk for the health and the livelihoods of other water users or a serious ecosystem risk.

**Improvement:** The impact of suspended solids, chemicals and fuel residues (as applicable) on other water users is evaluated, contamination of waste water with pollutants that represent a high risk is monitored, and technical improvements to reduce emissions are designed and implemented.
5.5 AMP GOVERNANCE

5. Category: **Company Governance**

5.2 Issue: **Management Practices**

5.2.1 Sub-Issue: **Legal Compliance**

**M.5/5.2.1/R.1**

The AMP has decision-making structures and mechanisms in place.

**Risk**

Lack of coordination among members of the AMP (which may be a cluster of different independent entities) poses limitations to achieving improvements.

**Controlled**

Decision-making structures and mechanisms are in place, operational and functional, and accepted by all actors.

**Progressing**

**Improvement:** The AMP makes efforts and takes steps to establish formal and/or informal coordination mechanisms for consensus-based decision-making.
The AMP complies with legal requirements beyond rights related to mineral extraction.

**Risk**

Next steps of formalization, fulfilling requirements other than those related to mineral extraction (i.e. beyond legitimacy requirements specified in MODULE 2), are still pending.

**Controlled**

The AMP has obtained all authorizations, as required by national law.

**Progressing**

**Improvement:** The AMP makes continuous efforts to comply with all legal requirements. Where the AMP identifies obstacles hindering their formalization process, the AMP proactively seeks external support.
5. Category: Company Governance
5.2 Issue: Management Practices
5.2.8 Sub-Issue: Grievance Mechanism

The AMP has established clear procedures to address complaints and grievances.

**Risk**

Human rights violations, conflicts among Members and conflicts with external stakeholders affected by mining may emerge.

**Controlled**

A confidential grievance mechanism is in place and communicated to Members, the community and other possibly affected stakeholders, that allows any Member or external stakeholder to openly or anonymously voice complaints and grievances.

**Improvement:** The AMP assigns points of contact (differentiated by subject as appropriate) for the reception of complaints (anonymously if requested). Procedures for how to address complaints are being developed, implemented and improved.